

1“I did not come with excellency of speech or of wisdom, declaring to you the testimony of God. For I determined not to know anything among you except Jesus Christ and Him crucified”(1 Corinthians 2:1-2)

Definition of False Teacher: One who presumes to teach in the Name of the Lord when God has not sent him.

False Teacher - Walter Veith

Eat the Good; Discern the Bad

Someone sent us a video link of Walter Veith’s preaching of SDA doctrine, declaring they got “the Sabbath correct.” There is a world of difference, however, between teaching the Sabbath is the seventh day of the week and representing its essence and truth. Veith’s doctrine and practice are antithetical to the Sabbath, being filled with men’s works in self-serving fairy tales about Christ, Satan, and man. There is nothing fit for consumption for one on the wholesome walk of truth, except in the glorious exposure of Veith’s and the SDA’s lies.

We received this letter from a friend:

Hi everyone,

SDA does get the Sabbath correct. On the whole I feel this is a good lecture. We are to honor and worship the truth and the source of the truth, Jesus. Do not worship the messenger, in this case SDA church. Videos 101-106 are also quite good which deal with God’s creation.

[A Day to Be Remembered](#)

Walter

Victor’s reply:

Greetings to all in Christ Jesus!

Walter has come across some Seventh Day Adventist material that he sent to all, and I welcome the opportunity to give you some instruction on it.

For a few specifics, as I got about 15 minutes into the first video, “Dr.” Walter Veith describes Isaiah 14 as being about Satan’s rebellion against God, but if you read that chapter, you will find nothing about Satan; it is about Lucifer, the King of Babylon - a man. Walter Veith refers to Lucifer, an angel, but Isaiah does not speak about a heavenly angel. Veith goes on to speculate as to Satan’s person, work, relationship to God, and evil intent, none of which Isaiah talks about. Most of Veith’s teaching is opinion and conjecture.

He makes statements that are presumptive, suggestive, and speculative, with no substance for them. For another example, around minute 17:50 or so, he asks (paraphrased), “Did God create mankind to replace the angels who sinned?”

The SDAs are into much speculation on the end times especially, but on many other matters, such as Michael the Archangel being Jesus Christ, which is not true.

This peculiarity with them is in keeping with Ellen G. White, their revered prophetess (false, by the way) who speculated on many things, as well as provably plagiarized much in her abundant writings. The SDAs also speculate and assert that Sunday-keeping is the mark of the beast, the RCC being that beast. Is there Scriptural foundation for it? Not that we are aware, and certainly the Lord has not told us so. (He has told us otherwise - read [The Mark of the Beast & the Seal of God.](#))

The SDAs are not afraid to put forth opinion as revelation and fact. Is that a problem? Yes, it is - big time - and know it or not, much, if not all, of our suffering and hardship has resulted from man's opinion (read [Opinion](#)). How much more unnecessary suffering would you like?

The SDAs are big on images, particularly of Jesus (indeed, many evangelicals use an SDA artist's popular portrayal - handsomeness, long hair and all, for their materials) - you'll see a huge portrait of "Jesus" in the stage background, breaking the Second Commandment (read [Religious Images, Icons, and Likenesses](#)). Meanwhile, they pride themselves on the Fourth Commandment, which also they do not keep.

More information on the SDAs is available at [Seventh Day Adventism](#). Read, learn, and beware. No, Walter, SDA does not "get the Sabbath correct," not at all, nor much else for that matter, not that it is about doctrine anyway. As Jesus warned His disciples, so we warn you: "Beware of the leaven of the Pharisees."

Veith's teaching is Tree of Knowledge fruit, with no anointing whatsoever from the Lord. His spirit reminds me of other SDA teachers, such as Doug Batchelor; his way is presentable and appealing, his inspiration intellectual, of the carnal man, which is at enmity with God:

Romans 8:5-8 MKJV

(5) For they who are according to the flesh mind the things of flesh, but they who are according to the Spirit the things of the Spirit.

(6) For to be carnally minded is death, but to be spiritually minded is life and peace

(7) because the carnal mind is enmity against God, for it is not subject to the Law of God, neither indeed can it be.

(8) So then they who are in the flesh cannot please God.

Certainly these teachers are attractive to the carnal eye and ear. Putting it in Veith's terms, his inspiration is "Luciferian." Not having the Spirit of God, one won't see or know that. We do, and we warn.

Veith draws up a scenario of jealousy in Lucifer towards Jesus Who is being worshipped by the angels, thinking, "What's this? Why should He be worshipped? I'm His equal in every way...." Veith speaks of Satan as once having been clean, but what does Jesus say of him?

"You are of the Devil as father, and the lusts of your father you will do. He was a murderer **from the beginning**, and did not abide in the truth because there is no truth in him. When he speaks a lie, he speaks of his own, for he is a liar and the father of it" (John 8:44 MKJV).

See also Genesis 3:1.

Veith goes on to say that God saw Lucifer's attitude and decided to create man to head off the coming crisis in Heaven with jealous angels. The man spouts carnal knowledge. While it can be

imaginative, entertaining, and sensational (appealing to the senses), it is not revelation and it is not glorifying to the Lord Jesus Christ at all.

So, Walter, when you say, “[We are to honor the truth and the source of the truth, Jesus,](#)” how are we honoring Him by honoring someone who is not honoring Him and listening to speculations and presentations that are, in all essence and spirit, antiChrist?

The teacher is prideful, wise in his own eyes, there to glorify his person of knowledge and “spiritual depth of understanding.” He, a man, comes in that very Luciferian spirit, the power of the flesh, having the mark of the beast in his manner of speech. Does anyone see that? Is this the food God has prepared for us? For you?

And do the SDAs get the Sabbath right? In what manner? Can you say, Walter? How can the SDAs get the meat of the matter right, when they don’t have the Sabbath within and do not know the Lord of the Sabbath? If they did get the Sabbath right, they would not be doing the works of men that destroy, **laboring 24/7** in all iniquity.

While we can learn from any source, if we are in a victorious position in the first place to do so, need we touch the unclean thing, feeding from the Tree of Knowledge and flirting with the harlot, or can we trust God to feed us in all wholesomeness? One need not go so far as to worship a harlot to be defiled with her, or worship an unclean thing to touch it and be defiled.

Let me put it another way: While some people have been able to feed from city garbage bins and even discover some valuable things in them on rare occasions, it is not appropriate and does not profit most people to obtain their sustenance there, especially those people who have the fortune of living in higher levels of society.

Now, if you aspire to be a child of God, which is the highest level of existence for any person, and He has provided you with a veritable banquet of all good things, as He deems necessary for the time, why would you choose to rummage back alleys for scraps, as you do? Have you not eaten at God’s banqueting table at *The Path of Truth* to discern the difference between good organic food and polluted, death-dealing scraps? Have you not eaten our butter and honey to know good and evil?

“Butter and honey shall he eat, that he may know to refuse the evil, and choose the good” (Isaiah 7:15 KJV).

Do you think it really fruitful to rummage through tons of garbage to retrieve edible tidbits, if indeed those are there at all?

Walter, you say, “[Do not worship the messenger,](#)” but “**not worshipping**” is hardly sufficient:

2 John 1:8-11 MKJV

(8) Look to yourselves, so that we may not lose those things which we worked out, but that we may receive a full reward.

(9) Everyone transgressing and not abiding in the doctrine of Christ does not have God. He who abides in the doctrine of Christ, he has both the Father and the Son.

(10) If anyone comes to you and does not bring this doctrine, do not receive him into your house, nor speak a greeting to him.

(11) For he who speaks a greeting to him is partaker of his evil deeds.

Should you choose to honor and worship the Lord Jesus Christ, you will not honor false teachers, much less refer others to them, lest they be defiled, deceived, confounded, and snared, especially when the Lord has already provided you with far better.

That said, we can't shelter you from everything, and we have said that occasions of error, such as this one, offer a backdrop for the truth. The Scriptures teach the value of such occasions:

"For there must be also heresies among you, that they which are approved may be made manifest among you" (1 Corinthians 11:19 KJV).

Am I being possessive or dominating here, or am I warning you of such that the apostles warned **their** flocks about? Are we manipulating you, or do we "watch for your souls, as they that must give account"? You decide.

Veith says that God has raised up sons to silence the mouth of the enemy:

"From the lips of babes and infants You have established strength, because of Your adversaries, that You might silence the enemy and the avenger" (Psalms 8:2 HNV).

Little does Veith know that he stands as an enemy of the saints. We speak to silence the enemy's mouth, that he might not destroy you with his dainties and vain philosophies.

Victor

Paul's reply:

Hi everyone,

"Amen" to all Victor wrote. How good to be fed butter and honey!

And what is this business of calling Lucifer "Satan," the good angel gone bad story? It is scapegoating, pure and simple, shifting focus from the real culprit - man - to the devil.

After all, as Victor pointed out from the Scripture, God created Satan as a liar and murderer, the most subtle of all beasts. But He did not make man that way:

"Behold, this only have I found: that God made man upright; but they search for many schemes" (Ecclesiastes 7:29 HNV).

Satan wasn't tempted and deceived. Eve was, and Adam followed her. Now mankind searches for many schemes, like this very one Veith and so many others preach, co-blaming Satan rather than taking 100% responsibility for itself.

Veith says, "**Sin is to blame,**" but he immediately follows with, "**Satan is to blame.**" Anything less than total acceptance and confession of culpability is a denial of Jesus Christ and His righteous judgment. It is actually far worse to split the blame than to be as the heathen who outright rejects sin-guiltiness, because the former is dishonest and deceptive, appearing contrite while using the devil as an excuse to be justified as a sinner. The sin therefore remains, while the sinner now appears as an angel of light.

Show us one place in the Scriptures where the Lord or any prophet of God blamed Satan for a person's sin or sins. You can't, because it isn't there.

Think about this: Do men savor the things of Satan, or does Satan savor the things of men? It is the latter:

“But when He had turned and saw His disciples, He rebuked Peter, saying, ‘Get behind Me, Satan! For you are not mindful of the things of God, but the things of men’” (Mark 8:33 EMTV).

Satan was created to serve men in their lusts, but men were not created to serve Satan. He only became our ruler because we chose to serve ourselves. Otherwise, the devil has no power over us, if we serve God:

“Do you not know that to whom you yield yourselves as slaves for obedience, you are slaves to him whom you obey; whether it is of sin to death, or of obedience to righteousness” (Romans 6:16 MKJV).

In other words, we are in the driver’s seat and are held responsible for running the red light.

Veith has externalized the enemy, not recognizing that the man of sin, the antiChrist, is within. That is because he has yet to be delivered from his own corruption, to be born again by the Spirit of Christ and victorious over sin by the new nature within. Veith is walking in his own righteousness, with “keeping the Sabbath” and other doctrines convincing him he is right and acceptable to God. He is brother to the Pharisees and all persecutors of the Lord.

Veith often speaks about having free will, the power to do God’s will because **he** chooses to want to, and he also refers to those who will be spared at Christ’s coming because they choose to be set free. This is all the man of sin in delusion speaking about his power to do right and to be as God.

No, Walter, this is the very opposite of “getting it correct” on the Sabbath. It is men’s works all the way, the paths of the destroyer, utterly vile and hateful to God and His saints.

In the mid 90’s a woman who was SDA tried to convince us about the Sabbath. But we saw that her life was not right before the Lord, and that her Sabbath-keeping was not legitimate or acceptable to Him. By the grace of God, we did not follow her lifeless form of religion, thereby becoming lifeless ourselves, but Victor had words of truth and correction for her and her friends.

Just as there is another “Jesus,” so there is another “Sabbath,” manmade and deadly all the same.

Paul

[Prophecy to All Religious](#)

[Our Testimonies](#) | [What’s New?](#) | [Notice Board](#) | [Teachings](#) | [Falsehood Exposed](#) | [False Teachers](#)
[The issues of Life](#) | [Blog Wars](#) | [Proverbs](#) | [Poems](#) | [Music](#) | [Home](#)

[Statement of Doctrine](#) [Site Map](#)

[How We Use the Scriptures](#) | [Copying & Linking](#) | [How One Is Saved](#)
[Healthy Living Advice in Everyday Things](#)